

**REMARKS**

After entry of the present Amendment After Appeal, claims 1, 2, and 5-13 remain in the application. Claim 1 has been amended simply to accept the Examiner's previous indication of allowable subject matter of dependent claim 3. As a result of this particular amendment accepting the subject matter of claim 3, claims 3 and 4 have been cancelled and claim 8 has been amended to focus on the diisocyanate or polyisocyanate II and not the diisocyanate or polyisocyanate I.

In accordance with MPEP 1214.07, this Amendment After Appeal obviously places the subject application in condition for allowance. Therefore, regardless of whether this Amendment After Appeal is filed with an RCE, the USPTO (specifically the Primary Examiner) should recommend that this Amendment After Appeal be entered.

Applicant's attorney respectfully submits that the claims as amended are now in condition for allowance and respectfully requests such allowance. If any additional fees are necessary to respond to the outstanding Office Action, you are hereby authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account No. 08-2789 in the name of Howard & Howard.

**Respectfully submitted,**

**HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS**

November 24, 2006  
Date

/David M. LaPrairie/  
**David M. LaPrairie, Registration No. 46,295**  
Howard and Howard Attorneys, P.C.  
The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101  
39400 Woodward Ave.  
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5151  
(248) 723-0442